

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/422,195 10/21/1999 | | DIMITRI KANEVSKY | 12837(YO999- | 5232 | |
| 7: | 590 04/09/2003 | | | | |
| RICHARD L CATANIA SCULLY MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | CHAMPAGNE, DONALD | | |
| GARDEN CIT | Y, NY 11530 | | ART UNIT | | |
| | | | 3622 | 1) | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Applicati | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 09/422,1 | 95 | KANEVSKY ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examine | 7 | Art Unit | | | | |
| | The MAIL ING DATE AND | | Champagne | 3622 | | | | |
| Period for | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | D | | | | | | | |
| | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | • | | | | | | |
| · · | , | This action is | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositio | n of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-19,21-32 and 34-41</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-19,21-32 and 34-41</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) 🗌 C | laim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ Th | ie drawing(s) filed on <u>21 October 1999</u> is | s/are: a)⊠ accep | oted or b) objected to b | y the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | to the drawing(s) | be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice (| of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No | 8) o(s) | | (PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC | | | | |
| S Patent and Trade | mod. Office | | | | | | | |





Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. <u>Claims 6, 16-19, 21-32 and 34-41</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

At claim 16, line 9, "said common physical characteristic" (singular) lacks antecedent basis.

Similarly, at claim 16, line 12, "said common physical characteristic" (singular) lacks antecedent basis.

Similarly, at claim 29, line 11, "said common physical characteristic" (singular) lacks antecedent basis.

Similarly, at claim 29, lines 14 and 15, "said common physical characteristic" (singular) lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 6, 21 and 34 depend on cancelled claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-19, 21-32 and 34-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Carney et al. in view of Kanevsky et al.
- 5. <u>Carney et al. teaches</u> (independent claims 1, 16 and 29) a system, method, and program storage device readable by a machine, for generating an advertisement optimized for two or



Art Unit: 3622

more persons engaged in a common activity at a public location (col. 1 lines 42-61), the method including a) obtaining visual images of said two or more persons, and b) collecting physical characteristics data of said two or more persons based on the obtained visual images (col. 6 lines 64-66); c) and d), extracting common physical characteristics from the detected physical characteristics and associating/generating ads for products and services with said common physical characteristics col. 5 lines 61-65); and e), transmitting said optimized ad to said public location.

- 6. Carney et al. does not teach e), transmitting said optimized ad to said two or more persons while at the public location. Kanevsky et al. teaches transmitting said optimized ad to said two or more persons while at the public location (col. 5 lines 58-64 and col. 6 lines 38-44).

 Because the invention of Kanevsky et al. could be expected to produce much better targeting than the demographic targeting of Carney et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the teachings of Kanevsky et al. to those of Carney et al.
- 7. Carney et al. teaches claim 15 at col. 5 lines 24-29, and claim 28 at col. 3 lines 5-8.
- 8. <u>Kanevsky et al. teaches</u> claims 2-4, 6-14, 17-19, 21-27, 30-32 and 34-41 at col. 1 lines 63-65; col. 2 lines 11-43; and col. 9 lines 17-27 and 63-67.

Suggestion of Allowable Subject Matter

9. Examiner was not able to find prior art other than Kanevsky et al. that teaches or suggests transmitting said optimized ad to said two or more persons while at the public location. Kanevsky et al. has two inventors in common with the instant invention. Hence, subject to an updated search at the time of allowance, the application could be put into condition for allowance by correcting the 112 problems (para. 2 above), and by showing that the Kanevsky et al. disclosure is applicant's own work (MPEP § 2136.05).

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).



Art Unit: 3622

- 11. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 703-308-3331. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications may be sent directly to the examiner at 703-746-5536.
- 13. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular official communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final official communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.
- 14. **AFTER FINAL PRACTICE** Examiner will consider after final an amendment and showing as indicated in para. 9 above. Other amendments will be considered in accordance with the following practice.
- 15. Consistent with MPEP § 706.07(f) and 713.09, prosecution generally ends with the final rejection. Examiner will grant an interview after final only when applicant presents compelling evidence that "disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration" (MPEP § 713.09). The burden is on applicant to demonstrate this requirement, preferably in no more than 25 words. Amendments are entered after final only when the amendments will clearly simplify issues, or put the case into condition for allowance, clearly and without additional search or more than nominal consideration.



Art Unit: 3622

16. Applicant may have after final arguments considered, or amendments other than that suggested by para. 9 entered, by filing a CPA or RCE as appropriate.

17. **ABANDONMENT** – If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

Donald L. Champagne

Examiner Art Unit 3622

4 April 2003